

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 OAKLAND DIVISION

11 IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT
12 ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS
13 LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 3047

Case No.: 4:22-md-03047-YGR

14 This Document Relates To:

15 *ALL ACTIONS*

16 ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
17 OMNIBUS SEALING STIPULATION IN
18 CONNECTION WITH PLAINTIFFS'
19 OPPOSITIONS TO RULE 702 MOTIONS
20 AND EXHIBITS THERETO, SCHOOL
21 DISTRICT-SPECIFIC MSJ
22 OPPOSITIONS AND EXHIBITS
23 THERETO, AND OMNIBUS MSJ
24 OPPOSITION BRIEF

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
Magistrate Judge: Hon. Peter H. Kang

25 The Court has considered the Parties' Omnibus Sealing Stipulation regarding information
26 Defendants seek to seal in materials filed by Plaintiffs with their oppositions to Defendants' motions for
27 summary judgment ("MSJs") and Rule 702 motions¹ ("Sealing Stipulation"), filed December 10, 2025,
28

¹ These materials include Plaintiffs' oppositions to Defendants' Rule 702 motions and exhibits thereto; their school district-specific oppositions to Defendants' MSJs and exhibits thereto; and Plaintiffs' Omnibus Opposition to Defendants' MSJs (ECF 2414-1) (the "Omnibus Opposition Brief")—in other (continued...)

and the briefs and exhibits submitted in support thereof and in opposition. Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5 and the Court's Order Setting Sealing Procedures (ECF 341), the Court rules as follows on the undisputed redactions set forth in the first chart in the Omnibus Sealing Stipulation:²

Document	Portion to be Sealed	Action to be taken	Court Order
Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion to Exclude General Causation Testimony of Plaintiffs' Experts, ECF 2404 – Ex. 90	Names of deponent's family members and their places of business, appearing at pages 470, 758, 894, 925-26, 928, 946-48, 951	Redact specified portions	
Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion to Exclude General Causation Testimony of Plaintiffs' Experts, ECF 2404 – Ex. 93	Name of deponent's partner, appearing at page 83	Redact specified portion	
Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion to Exclude General Causation Testimony of Plaintiffs' Experts, ECF 2404 – Ex. 95	Video compilation containing the first names, ages, faces, and social media aliases of young children (mostly girls), most of whom identify themselves as under 13 (entire video exhibit)	Seal in entirety	
Harford School District Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF 2395 – Ex. 20	Name of the family member of an expert witness appearing at page 131	Redact specified portions	
Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Harford Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF 2400-18	Page 315: Images of children	Redact specified portions	

words, all materials filed by Plaintiffs on November 7 except the exhibits to the Omnibus Opposition Brief. Those exhibits, along with Defendants' opening and reply filings, shall be addressed in a future sealing motion, consistent with the Parties' prior stipulation. *See* ECF 2451 at 2.

² The Court's rulings on the disputed redactions set forth in the second chart in the Omnibus Sealing Stipulation are set forth in separate orders that were originally submitted (in proposed form) as exhibits to Defendants' omnibus motions to seal, also filed December 10, 2025.

1	– Ex. 16 (Christakis MDL Expert Report)			
2	Plaintiffs’ Opposition to	Page 315: Images of	Redact specified	
3	Defendants’ Motion to	children.	portions	
4	Exclude Plaintiffs’			
5	School District Experts,			
6	ECF 2407-3 – Ex. 1			
7	(Christakis MDL Expert			
8	Report)			
9	Plaintiffs’ Corrected	Page 139: DAU	Redact specified	
10	Omnibus Opposition to	Increase number	portions	
11	Defendants’ Motions for			
12	Summary Judgment,			
13	ECF 2414-1			
14	Plaintiffs’ Corrected	Page 140: Percentage of	Redact specified	
15	Omnibus Opposition to	ad revenue generated	portions	
16	Defendants’ Motions for	from Stories tab		
17	Summary Judgment,			
18	ECF 2414-1			
19	Plaintiffs’ Corrected	Page 142: Percentage of	Redact specified	
20	Omnibus Opposition to	friendship requests sent	portions	
21	Defendants’ Motions for	by new users attributed		
22	Summary Judgment,	to the Quick Add feature		
23	ECF 2414-1			
24	Plaintiffs’ Corrected	Page 142: Number of	Redact specified	
25	Omnibus Opposition to	daily friend requests sent	portions	
26	Defendants’ Motions for	via Quick Add		
27	Summary Judgment,			
28	ECF 2414-1			
	Plaintiffs’ Corrected	Page 142: Percentage of	Redact specified	
	Omnibus Opposition to	daily friend requests sent	portions	
	Defendants’ Motions for	via Quick Add		
	Summary Judgment,			
	ECF 2414-1			
	Plaintiffs’ Opposition to	Page 96: Employee	Redact specified	
	Defendants’ Harford	email addresses	portions	
	Motion for Summary			
	Judgment, ECF No.	Page 147: Employee		
	2400-18 – Ex. 16	email address;		
	(Christakis MDL Expert	Page 151: Employee		
	Report)	email addresses		
		Page 152: Employee		
		email address		
	Plaintiffs’ Opposition to	Page 96: Employee	Redact specified	
	Defendants’ Motion to	email addresses	portions	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Exclude Plaintiffs' General Causation Experts, ECF 2405-18 – Ex. 15 (Christakis MDL Expert Report)	Page 147: Employee email address Page 151: Employee email addresses Page 152: Employee email address		
	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Exclude Plaintiffs' General Causation Experts, ECF 2405-35 – Ex. 32 (Murray MDL Expert Report)	Page 170: Employee email address	Redact specified portions	
	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Exclude Plaintiffs' School District Experts, ECF No. 2407-03 – Ex. 1 (Christakis MDL Expert Report)	Page 96: Employee email addresses Page 147: Employee email address Page 151: Employee email addresses Page 152: Employee email address	Redact specified portions	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 12, 2025


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE